

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,985	985 07/11/2003		Frank C. Dickinson	003534-107	9916
21839 7590 07/26/2004 BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				EXAMINER	
				HUNTER, ALVIN A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3711	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Community	10/616,985	DICKINSON, FRANK C
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA*  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated in the second for reply in the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I have reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become At	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)       This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)       Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice units.	☑ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 10 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to lead to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in Ape priority documents have been  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/05/2003.</li> </ul>	18) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) I/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 10/616,985

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the insert" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Straza et al. (USPN 4681322).

Regarding claim 1, Straza et al. discloses a golf club head 10 comprising a striking face 14 having a recess 34, and a faceplate 26 comprising a front surface 54

Application/Control Number: 10/616,985

Art Unit: 3711

and a back surface and angled spin-control elements 28 between the front and back surfaces (See Figures 1-6).

Regarding claim 2, Straza et al. discloses the spin-control elements parallel to each other (See Figure 3).

Regarding claim 5, Straza et al. discloses the elements are of the same or different material having the same or different hardness relative to each other and/or the remainder of the insert (See Column 3, lines 3 through 38).

Regarding claim 17, Straza et al. discloses a club designed to impart spin to a golf ball comprising a club head with an insert of soft polymeric material having a striking face located in the recess, the insert having a front surface, a back surface and a plurality of angled spaced apart spin control elements therebetween, the spin control elements being arranged in the soft polymeric material such that a golf ball struck by the front surface of the insert is caused to rotate in a clockwise direction as the ball moves away from the front surface (See Figure 1-6 and Column 3, lines 3 through 38). It is submitted that since Straza has the same structure, it is capable of being used as a putter (See MPEP 2112)

3. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Solhelm (GB 2388792 A).

Regarding claim 1, Solhelm discloses a golf club head comprising a striking face having a recess, and a faceplate comprising a front surface and a back surface and angled spin-control elements between said front and back surfaces (See Figures 1-9 and Page 8 through 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,985

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 2, Solhelm discloses the spin-control elements are parallel to each other.

Regarding claim 3, Solhelm discloses the spin-control elements are at varied angles to the front surface, the angles increasing or decreasing in a direction away from a central portion of the faceplate, because bubbles inherently have varied angle with respect to the front surface being that they are circular.

Regarding claim 5, Solhelm discloses the elements being of the same or different material having the same or different hardness relative to each other and/or the remainder of the insert.

Regarding claim 6, Solhelm discloses the elements being solid objects which terminate at the front surface (See Page 8 through 10).

Regarding claim 7, Solhelm discloses the elements being voids which open to the back surface or solid objects which terminate at the back surface (See Page 8 through 10).

Regarding claim 8, Solhelm discloses the faceplate comprises a plurality of pixels; wherein the back endwalls of the pixels kin contact with a back surface of the recess, the back surface being are at an oblique angle to the front surface being that a bubble is circular and has many oblique angles (See Page 8 through 10).

Regarding claim 9, Solhelm discloses the elements comprise hollow voids disposed at an oblique angle to the front surface being that a bubble is circular and has many oblique angles (See Page 8 through 10).

Regarding claim 11, Solhelm discloses the elements comprise a plurality of voids in communication with the front surface disposed at an oblique angle to the front surface being that a bubble is circular and has many oblique angles (See Page 8 through 10).

Regarding claim 12, Solhelm discloses the elements comprise a plurality of voids in communication with the back surface disposed at an oblique angle to the front surface being that a bubble is circular and has many oblique angles (See Page 8 through 10).

Regarding 17, Solhelm discloses a putter designed to impart spin to a golf ball comprising: a putter head with an insert of soft polymeric material having a striking face located in the recess, the insert having a front surface, a back surface and a plurality of angled spaced apart spin control elements therebetween, the spin control elements being arranged in the soft polymeric material such that a golf ball struck by the front surface of the insert is caused to rotate in a clockwise direction as the ball moves away from the front surface (See Figures 1-9 and Page 8 through 10).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 13-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solhelm (GB 2388792 A).

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 4, Solhelm discloses at least one of the elements at the central portion is disposed perpendicular to the front surface being that it is disclosed that the size and distribution of the bubbles may be selected as desired by the inventor (See Paragraph bridging pages 8 and 9). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have the spin elements parallel to each other in order to alter the mechanical characteristics of the club head.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Solhelm discloses that the spin elements 602 may be of any design and may be pins (See Page 9, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph and Figure 7). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to orient the spin elements at any angle so long as the invention is attained.

Regarding claims 15 and 18, Applicant notes that holes and slots assert no advantage over the other (See Page 8, paragraph 0026). Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have come to the conclusion that having channels is an obvious matter of design choice. The voids of Solhelm would have performed equally as well because it allows the use to change the mechanical characteristic of the golf club.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/616,985 Page 7

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Vidovich, can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAA

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

GREGORY VIDOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700